

Warped Convolutions: Efficient Invariance to Spatial Transformations

João F. Henriques

Andrea Vedaldi

Translation-equivariance and CNNs

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) are ubiquitous in Computer Vision

• The first stage in most image recognition pipelines is a CNN.





Translation-equivariance and CNNs

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) are ubiquitous in Computer Vision

- A large part of its success is due to **translation-equivariance**.
- Translating the input image also translates the predictions.



Translation by t $f(\tau_t(x)) = \tau_t(f(x))$ CNN Input



Translation-equivariance and CNNs

Why translation-equivariance?

• Vastly **fewer parameters** to learn in linear layers.

For example, ResNet's 1st layer:

- 9,408 convolutional parameters.
- $\sim 1.2 \times 10^{11}$ if simple linear layer (FC)!
- Less computation (limited filter support).
- Local memory access (faster).
- Reflects statistics of natural images.





-equivariance and CNNs?



Image statistics are largely invariant to other transformations (scale, rotation, etc).

 \Rightarrow Can we get the same benefits in those cases?



\longrightarrow Input translation

Problems:

- Spatially-varying filter, requires computing transformation at every step.
- Loses access to modern fast convolution algorithms (Winograd, FFT).



Inspiration: Log-Polar Transform





 $\leftarrow r$

- A well-known trick from signal processing.
- Remaps (warps) space according to:

 $(u, v) \mapsto (r, \theta)$ $r = \log \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ $\theta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)$



Inspiration: Log-Polar Transform





 $\leftarrow r$

- A well-known trick from signal processing.
- Remaps (warps) space according to:

 $(u, v) \mapsto (r, \theta)$ $r = \log \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ $\theta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)$



Inspiration: Log-Polar Transform





- A well-known trick from signal processing.
- Remaps (warps) space according to:

 $(u, v) \mapsto (r, \theta)$ $r = \log \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ $\theta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)$



Inspiration: Log-Polar Transform





- A well-known trick from signal processing.
- Remaps (warps) space according to:

 $(u, v) \mapsto (r, \theta)$ $r = \log \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ $\theta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)$



Inspiration: Log-Polar Transform





- A well-known trick from signal processing.
- Remaps (warps) space according to:

 $(u, v) \mapsto (r, \theta)$ $r = \log \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ $\theta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)$



Inspiration: Log-Polar Transform





- A well-known trick from signal processing.
- Remaps (warps) space according to:

 $(u, v) \mapsto (r, \theta)$ $r = \log \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ $\theta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)$



Inspiration: Log-Polar Transform





- A well-known trick from signal processing.
- Remaps (warps) space according to:

 $(u, v) \mapsto (r, \theta)$ $r = \log \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ $\theta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)$



Inspiration: Log-Polar Transform





- A well-known trick from signal processing.
- Remaps (warps) space according to:

 $(u, v) \mapsto (r, \theta)$ $r = \log \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ $\theta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)$



Inspiration: Log-Polar Transform





- A well-known trick from signal processing.
- Remaps (warps) space according to:

 $(u, v) \mapsto (r, \theta)$ $r = \log \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ $\theta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)$



Inspiration: Log-Polar Transform





- A well-known trick from signal processing.
- Remaps (warps) space according to:

 $(u, v) \mapsto (r, \theta)$ $r = \log \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ $\theta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)$



Inspiration: Log-Polar Transform





- A well-known trick from signal processing.
- Remaps (warps) space according to:

 $(u, v) \mapsto (r, \theta)$ $r = \log \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ $\theta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)$



Inspiration: Log-Polar Transform





Scale/rotation in the original space (u, v)

 \Leftrightarrow

Horizontal/vertical translation in the warped space (r, θ)

A CNN in this warped space will implicitly work with scales/rotations.

Generalizing



Observation



The log-polar interpolation (warp) grid can be generated as follows:

- Take an arbitrary **pivot point** x_0 .
- Consider an elementary scale $\delta_{\rm S}$ and an elementary rotation $\delta_{\rm R}$ (w.r.t. the origin •).
- Repeatedly apply elementary scales/rotations to x_0 to obtain the grid points.

(*n* scales, *m* rotations $\rightarrow n \times m$ grid)

19

\Rightarrow Does this process generalize to other transformations?



Generalizing

• Answer: Yes! (Proof in the paper.)

Requirements:

- 2D parameter-space (e.g. scale + rotation).
- Transformation group G must be Abelian (i.e., composition of transformations does not depend on their order, gh = hg, for g, h ∈ G).





Transformation examples

- We can create *analogues* of the log-• polar warp for many other spatial transformations.
- They guarantee equivariance to ۲ aspect ratio, smooth deformations, and some 3D operations.

Warped image

Transformed

image

Warp grid





Scale / rotation

Transformation examples

- We can create *analogues* of the log-polar warp for many other spatial transformations.
- They guarantee equivariance to aspect ratio, smooth deformations, and some 3D operations.

Warped image





Transformation examples

- We can create *analogues* of the log-polar warp for many other spatial transformations.
- They guarantee equivariance to aspect ratio, smooth deformations, and some 3D operations.

Warped image





UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD







22

Transformation-equivariant CNNs

A recipe for transformation-equivariant CNNs

- 1. (Offline.) Generate warp grid from elementary transformations.
- 2. Apply warp to input (bilinear interpolation).
- 3. Apply standard convolutional operators.

The result can be shown to be equivariant to the chosen transformation.





Warp



Warped image x'



Experiments



Google Earth dataset Vehicle pose estimation

(Scale/rotation equivariance)

	ROT. ERR.	SCALE ERR.
CNN+FC	22.54	5.04
CNN+SOFTARGMAX	9.36	4.87
WARPED CNN	8.29	4.79
(DIELEMAN ET AL., 2015)	31.11	4.29



AFLW dataset Head pose estimation

(3D rotation equivariance)

	YAW ERR.	PITCH ERR.
CNN+FC	12.56	6.59
STN (Jaderberg et al., 2015)	13.65	7.22
Warped CNN	7.07	5.28



25

Conclusions

- Convolutional operators can be generalized to a broad class of spatial transformations.
- We present a construction based on a single warp (negligible overhead) and standard convolutions.
- This allows us to train fast CNNs that are equivariant to other useful transformations.
- *Future work*: mixing filter banks of different transformations inside a CNN.





